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SUMMARY

Lipid droplets (LDs) provide an “on-demand” source of fatty acids (FAs) that can be mobilized in response to fluctuations in nutrient abundance. Surprisingly, the amount of LDs increases during prolonged periods of nutrient deprivation. Why cells store FAs in LDs during an energy crisis is unknown. Our data demonstrate that mTORC1-regulated autophagy is necessary and sufficient for starvation-induced LD biogenesis. The ER-resident diacylglycerol acyltransferase 1 (DGAT1) selectively channels autophagy-liberated FAs into new, clustered LDs that are in close proximity to mitochondria and are lipolytically degraded. However, LDs are not required for FA delivery to mitochondria but instead function to prevent acylcarnitine accumulation and lipotoxic dysregulation of mitochondria. Our data support a model in which LDs provide a lipid buffering system that sequesters FAs released during the autophagic degradation of membranous organelles, reducing lipotoxicity. These findings reveal an unrecognized aspect of the cellular adaptive response to starvation, mediated by LDs.

INTRODUCTION

Throughout evolution, organisms have developed mechanisms to monitor and respond to fluctuations in nutrient abundance (Chantranupong et al., 2015; Efeyan et al., 2015). During prolonged periods of nutrient deprivation, cells initiate global programs to coordinate their metabolism, shifting from a reliance on glycolysis to fatty acid (FA) breakdown via mitochondrial β-oxidation for energy (Finn and Dice, 2006). FAs are stored as triacylglycerol (TAG) in lipid droplets (LDs), which are ER-derived organelles that consist of a core of neutral lipids (e.g., TAG and cholesterol esters) encircled by a phospholipid monolayer (Hashemi and Goodman, 2015; Pol et al., 2014; Walther and Farese, 2012). LDs serve as dynamic hubs of cellular lipid metabolism, sequestering excess FAs to prevent lipotoxicity (Bailey et al., 2015; Garbarino et al., 2009; Listenberger et al., 2003; Petschnigg et al., 2009; Velázquez et al., 2016) and providing an “on-demand” source of FAs for energy (Cabodevilla et al., 2013; Hermes et al., 2015; Rambold et al., 2015). LD-associated neutral lipases (e.g., adipose triglyceride lipase [ATGL]) respond to the metabolic state of the cell and rapidly liberate FAs from the stored TAG for transfer to mitochondria (Lass et al., 2011; Rambold et al., 2015).

Under conditions of prolonged starvation, macro-autophagy (herein referred to as autophagy) is upregulated to recycle cellular components and provide constituents for essential processes (Galluzzi et al., 2014; Yang and Klionsky, 2010). Autophagy involves a suite of specialized proteins that mediate the biogenesis of the autophagosome, a double-membrane organelle that engulfs portions of the cytoplasm and fuses with the lysosome to enable the degradation of the sequestered cytoplasmic contents (Galluzzi et al., 2014; Yang and Klionsky, 2010). The initiation of autophagy is regulated by numerous signaling pathways that respond to alterations in the levels of nutrients, growth factors, chemokines, and stress (Galluzzi et al., 2014; Yang and Klionsky, 2010). Although there are now many examples of selective autophagic degradation, autophagy triggered by nutrient deprivation is relatively nonselective in its delivery of portions of cytoplasm to the lysosome (Galluzzi et al., 2014; Yang and Klionsky, 2010). An exception are mitochondria, which undergo morphological changes that prevent their autophagic degradation (Gomes et al., 2011; Rambold et al., 2015).

The breakdown of LDs and the upregulation of autophagy serve complementary roles in supplying the cell with substrates for the generation of energy. Surprisingly, despite evidence of lipolytic degradation of LDs, the abundance of LDs increased in mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) during combined starvation for amino acids, glucose, and serum in Hank’s balanced salt solution (HBSS) (Rambold et al., 2015). The increase in LDs was not observed in MEFs lacking the critical autophagy gene ATG5, leading to a model in which the autophagic breakdown of membranous organelles releases lipids that are re-esterified and packaged into new LDs (Rambold et al., 2015). However, the molecular pathways that regulate the biogenesis of autophagy-dependent LDs and the functional explanation for why cells...
expend energy to package FAs into new LDs during an energy crisis are unknown.

Here, we demonstrate that diacylglycerol acyltransferase 1 (DGAT1) channels FAs into LDs downstream of mechanistic target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1)-regulated autophagy during nutrient deprivation. Under these conditions, DGAT1-dependent sequestration of FAs as TAG in LDs protects against lipotoxic disruption of mitochondrial function and promotes cell viability. These data identify an unexplored aspect of the cellular starvation response in which LDs constitute a lipid buffering system that is essential for cellular homeostasis during periods of high autophagy.

**RESULTS**

**Lack of Amino Acids Stimulates Autophagy-Dependent Lipid Droplet Biogenesis**

To gain a better understanding of LD dynamics during starvation, we examined LD distribution and abundance in MEFs incubated in complete serum-containing rich medium (CM) or following transfer from CM into HBSS. In contrast to CM, HBSS induced a rapid increase in LD levels that reached a higher steady-state level after 16 hr, exhibiting approximately 3.5-fold more LDs than in CM (Figures 1A and 1B). The accumulation of LDs was accompanied by the relocalization of dispersed LDs to a highly clustered distribution (Figures 1A and 1C), and LDs were often observed in close proximity to mitochondria (Figures 1A and 1C; Movies S1 and S2). The increase in LDs during starvation also occurred in several cultured human cell lines, including HeLa, Huh7, and U2OS (Figures S1D–S1G), suggesting that an increase in LDs is a general cellular response to nutrient deprivation.

HBSS starvation conditions have low concentrations of glucose and lack amino acids and serum. To define the minimal conditions required to induce LD biogenesis, we selectively depleted groups of nutrients (Figures 1D and 1E). Incubation in media lacking glucose or serum resulted in a severe decrease in LDs compared with CM (Figures 1D and 1E), likely due to...
Figures 2. mTORC1-Regulated Autophagy Affects Lipid Droplet Biogenesis during Nutrient Deprivation

(A) A model illustrating methods to control mTORC1 activity by using the small molecule torin1 or by deletion of the Ragulator subunit p18 or the Gator1 subunit Nprl2.

(B) Immunoblot analysis of S6K and AMPK phosphorylation in MEF cells incubated in HBSS for the indicated times or treated with the designated compounds for 16 hr. Comp. C, AMPK inhibitor compound C.

(C) Lipid droplet area per cell (μm²) with BODIPY 493/503 (F).

(D) p18rev and p18−/− MEFs were treated as indicated for 16 hr and analyzed by immunoblotting (E) or by quantifying LD area after fixation and staining with BODIPY 493/503 (H).

(E) p18−/− MEFs were treated as indicated for 16 hr and analyzed by immunoblotting (G) or by quantifying LD area after fixation and staining with BODIPY 493/503 (H).

All graphical data are quantified as mean ± SEM. An asterisk indicates a significant difference (*p < 0.05, t test) based on n = 50 cells from three independent biological replicates. See also Figures S2–S4.

The degradation of existing LDs and a lack of compensatory LD biogenesis. In contrast, incubation with media lacking amino acids, or just glutamine, increased the pool of LDs, largely phenocopying the effect of HBSS starvation (Figures 1B, 1D, and 1E). Consistent with the importance of autophagy in starvation-induced LD biogenesis, growth in HBSS or in media lacking amino acids induced LC3 and p62 degradation that could be blocked by bafilomycin A1 (BafA1), an inhibitor of V-ATPase and lysosomal acidification (Figure S2A). In addition, growth in HBSS or in media lacking amino acids also was found to increase autophagy measured using the GFP-LC3-RFP-LC3ΔG autophagic flux reporter (Kaizuka et al., 2016) (Figure S2B). In contrast, glucose or serum deprivation did not significantly affect LC3 or p62 degradation (Figure S2A) or autophagic flux (Figure S2B), supporting a correlation between autophagy induction and LD formation. Moreover, the generation of LDs during amino acid starvation was blocked by BafA1 and by the autophagy inhibitor 3-methyladenine (Figures 1F, 1G, S3A, and S3B), but was insensitive to the FA synthase inhibitor TVB-3166 (Figures 1F and 1G). These results indicate that amino acid deprivation is sufficient to induce autophagy-dependent LD biogenesis via lipid recycling, in the absence of de novo FA synthesis.

mTORC1 Controls Autophagy-Dependent Lipid Droplet Biogenesis during Starvation

Mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR) is a nutrient sensitive kinase that, as part of mTORC1, functions as a master regulator of cell growth and autophagy (Zoncu et al., 2011). Under conditions of sufficient amino acids, the heterodimeric RagA/B-RagC/D guanosine triphosphatases (GTPases), the Ragulator complex, and the v-ATPase recruit mTORC1 to the surface of lysosomes, where the kinase activity of mTORC1 is turned on (or unlocked) (Zoncu et al., 2011) (Figure 2A). Conversely, in the absence of amino acids, mTORC1 is no longer recruited to the lysosome and is inactive, resulting in the upregulation of autophagy (Zoncu et al., 2011).

In agreement with the inhibition of mTORC1 activity during starvation, incubation in HBSS or in media lacking amino acids resulted in the rapid loss of phosphorylated S6 kinase (p-S6K) (Figures 2B and 2A), a substrate of mTORC1 and a useful
Figure 3. DGAT1 Channels Autophagy-Released Lipids into New Lipid Droplets that Are Degraded during Nutrient Deprivation

(A and B) LD biogenesis was induced in MEFs by incubation with 200 μM oleate in CM (A) or by starvation in HBSS for 16 hr (B). MEFs were treated with DGAT1 and/or DGAT2 inhibitors (DGAT1i and DGAT2i) as indicated. Cells were fixed, BODIPY 493/503-stained LDs imaged by fluorescence microscopy, and the abundance of LDs quantified.

(C) MEFs stably expressing a GFP-LC3-RFP-LC3ΔG autophagy flux reporter were incubated in CM or HBSS and treated with DGAT1 and/or DGAT2 inhibitors as indicated. Following a 16-hr incubation, the GFP/RFP ratio was measured by flow cytometry and the fold change in the GFP/RFP ratio quantified (n = 3; mean ± SEM).

(D) Immunoblot analysis of MEFs starved in HBSS and treated with vehicle, DGAT1i, and/or BafA1.

(legend continued on next page)
Inhibition of mTOR with the catalytic inhibitor torin1 was sufficient to increase LD abundance in CM, and no further increase was observed during starvation in HBSS (Figures 2C, S3C, and S3D), a condition in which mTORC1 is already fully inhibited (Figures 2B and S2A). Torin1 promotion of LD biogenesis was blocked by BafA1 (Figures S3C and S3D), indicating a dependence on autophagy. mTORC1 activity and autophagy initiation can be affected by the AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) signaling pathway (Alers et al., 2012; Kim et al., 2011). Phosphorylated AMPK (p-AMPK) was absent following 1 hr of HBSS starvation and only became apparent after 4 hr of HBSS starvation (Figure 2B), suggesting that AMPK is not functioning upstream of mTORC1 under these conditions. Incubation with the AMPK activator AICAR was insufficient to induce LD biogenesis in complete medium, but had a stimulatory effect during HBSS starvation (Figures 2B and 2D). The AMPK inhibitor compound C had the opposite effect, and decreased LDs during HBSS starvation (Figures 2B and 2D). Thus, inhibition of mTOR is sufficient to induce autophagy-dependent LD biogenesis in the absence of starvation, and the extent of LD biogenesis during starvation is modulated by AMPK signaling.

Torin1 inhibits mTOR present in both mTORC1 and mTORC2. To selectively impair mTORC1 activity, we exploited MEFs lacking p18, a subunit of the Ragulator complex that is essential for mTORC1 lysosomal recruitment and activation (Nada et al., 2009; Sancak et al., 2010) (Figure 2A). p18 revertant (p18rev) MEFs rescued with Strep-tagged p18 (Nada et al., 2009; Sancak et al., 2010) were employed as controls. As in the torin1-treated cells, the p18−/− MEFs exhibited a complete lack of p-S6K, indicating inhibition of mTORC1 (Figure 2E). Moreover, the p18−/− MEFs displayed high levels of LDs relative to the control p18rev MEFs and no further increase was observed during HBSS starvation (Figure 2F). To determine whether mTORC1 inhibition is necessary for LD biogenesis during starvation, we generated MEFs lacking Nprl2 (Figure S4A), a subunit of the Gator1 complex that inactivates the Rag GTPases under low amino acid conditions (Bar-Peled et al., 2013) (Figure 2A). MEFs lacking Nprl2 exhibited decreased starvation-induced inhibition of mTORC1 as evidenced by the persistence of the p-S6K signal during HBSS incubation (Figure 2G), consistent with the uncoupling of mTORC1 from the nutrient status of these cells. Strikingly, the Nprl2 null cell lines were completely unresponsive to HBSS-induced LD biogenesis (Figure 2H). Cancer cell lines HCC1500 and SW780, which lack Nprl2 and exhibit decreased mTOR nutrient responsiveness (Bar-Peled et al., 2013) (Figure S4B), also showed reduced LD biogenesis during HBSS starvation (Figures S4C and S4D). Together, these data demonstrate that inhibition of mTORC1 is necessary and sufficient for autophagy-dependent LD biogenesis.

**LD Biogenesis during Starvation Selectively Requires DGAT1**

DGAT1 and DGAT2 mediate the final committed step in TAG synthesis, esterifying diacylglycerol (DAG) to yield TAG, which is then packaged into LDs (Harris et al., 2011; Walther and Farese, 2012). Simultaneous inhibition of DGAT1 and DGAT2 abrogates LD biogenesis in adipocytes (Harris et al., 2011) and MEFs (To et al., 2017). To examine the contribution of these two enzymes to LD biogenesis under distinct metabolic states, we treated MEFs with DGAT inhibitors and induced LD biogenesis either with nutrient excess (i.e., oleate supplementation) (Figure 3A) or nutrient deprivation (i.e., HBSS) (Figure 3B). In the presence of oleate, LD biogenesis was only partially blocked by treatment with DGAT1 inhibitor T863 (DGAT1i) or DGAT2 inhibitor PF-06424439 (DGAT2i) alone, but was completely blocked by incubation with both DGAT inhibitors together (Figure 3A). In contrast, during HBSS starvation DGAT1i largely blocked LD biogenesis, while DGAT2i had no effect (Figure 3B). Depletion of the DGAT enzymes using small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) also indicated that DGAT1 is preferentially required for starvation-induced LD biogenesis (Figures S5A–S5C). Measurements of transcript levels of DGAT1 and DGAT2 revealed that the levels of both transcripts increased during HBSS starvation (Figure S5D), indicating that cells upregulate TAG synthesis machinery under these conditions. This result also indicates that the mechanism enabling channeling of FAs selectively into DGAT1-dependent LDs is likely not due to differences in DGAT1 and DGAT2 expression levels, and the mechanism for this selective channeling from the autolysosome remains unclear. LDs are required for autophagy under certain conditions in yeast (Li et al., 2015; Shpilka et al., 2015; Velázquez et al., 2016) and mammalian cells (Dupont et al., 2014), raising the possibility that DGAT1 inhibition could affect LD biogenesis by disrupting autophagy. However, incubation with DGAT1i had no effect on autophagic flux (Figure 3C) or the kinetics LC3 and p62 degradation (Figure 3D) during starvation in HBSS, indicating that the autophagy pathway is intact. Together, these results demonstrate that DGAT1 channels FAs downstream of autophagy into LDs during starvation.

Whether the new pool of starvation-induced LDs is degraded is unknown. Inhibition of DGAT1 provides a useful method to prevent additional LD biogenesis, allowing measurement of the stability and degradation of any existing LDs. Upon shifting MEFs from CM into HBSS starvation medium containing DGAT1i (Figure 3E), the pre-existing pool of dispersed LDs was stable for the first 300 min and then was degraded at a steady rate for the remaining period of the experiment (Figures 3G and 3H).

---

(E) Illustration of the chase paradigm to visualize the stability of pre-existing, dispersed LDs. DGAT1i was added together with HBSS and the amount of BODIPY493/503-stained LDs present in live cells imaged and quantified over 16 hr.
(F) Illustration of the pulse-chase paradigm to visualize the stability of starvation-induced, clustered LDs. Following a 6-hr HBSS (pulse) to induce autophagy-dependent LD biogenesis, DGAT1i was added, and the amount of BODIPY493/503-stained LDs present in live cells imaged and quantified over 16 hr.
(G) Time-lapse montage of dispersed and clustered LD degradation in live cells treated according to the paradigms in (E) and (F). Scale bar, 10 μm.
(H) Quantification of the turnover kinetics of dispersed and clustered LDs treated and imaged as in (G).

All graphical data are quantified as mean ± SEM. For the quantified microscopy images, an asterisk indicates a significant difference (*p < 0.05, t test) based on n = 50 cells from three independent biological replicates. See also Figure S5.
To examine the degradation kinetics of the new pool of autophagy-dependent LDs, we employed a starvation “pulse-chase” paradigm (Figure 3F). In this paradigm, MEFs were briefly starved with HBSS to induce the formation of autophagy-dependent LDs, then DGAT1i was added and the stability of the LDs measured. The autophagy-dependent, clustered LDs were immediately degraded with rates similar to those of the dispersed LDs (Figures 3G and 3H). The lack of a lag period was likely because the cells had already initiated a starvation response at the beginning of these measurements. Degradation of pre-existing and starvation-induced LDs was blocked by ATGListatin (Figures S5E and S5F), an inhibitor of the LD-associated TAG lipase ATGL (Mayer et al., 2013). These results demonstrate that both the pre-existing dispersed LDs and the newly formed autophagy-dependent LDs are lipolytically degraded during nutrient deprivation.

**DGAT1 Affects Fatty Acid Storage and Channeling to Mitochondria during Starvation**

LDs have been reported to form membrane contact sites with mitochondria (Herms et al., 2015; Rambold et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2011) and we observed LDs in close proximity to mitochondria during HBSS starvation (Figures 1A and S1A–S1C; Movies S1 and S2). One possibility is that LDs could function as a requisite intermediate for the transfer of FAs released by autophagy to mitochondria for breakdown by β-oxidation. If this model is correct, blocking DGAT1-dependent LD biogenesis would reduce FA delivery to mitochondria and its subsequent conversion into acylcarnitines by mitochondrial carnitine palmitoyltransferase 1 (CPT1), resulting in a decrease in acylcarnitine levels and potentially in an increase in FA flux into non-energy accessible lipid pools (e.g., increase in phospholipids). To test this model, we employed single reaction monitoring (SRM)-based liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry steady-state lipidomic profiling to analyze the levels of 113 lipids from multiple lipid classes (Figures 4 and S6A–S6E; Tables S1 and S2). In MEFs incubated in CM, very few lipids were affected by DGAT1 inhibition and TAG levels were unchanged (Figures S6A–S6E and Table S1), indicating that DGAT1 is not required for maintenance of TAG pools in CM. In contrast, DGAT1 inhibition during starvation in HBSS resulted in a number of significant changes in the cellular lipid profile (Figure 4 and Table S2). The levels of nearly all TAG species measured were significantly decreased (Figures 4A and 4B), which correlates well with the importance of DGAT1 in the generation of LDs during HBSS starvation (Figures 3B and S3C). There was also a large decrease in cholesterol esters and a small increase in cholesteryl (Figures 4A and 4C), suggesting that impairments in the biogenesis of TAG-rich LDs may affect cholesterol ester synthesis and storage. There were additional small changes in other lipid classes (Figures 4A and 4E–4I), including an increase in C16:0 ceramide (1.6-fold) (Figures 4A and 4G), which may reflect cellular stress. Interestingly, there were significant increases in both C16:0 acylcarnitine (3.6-fold) and C18:0 acylcarnitine (2.5-fold) (Figures 4A and 4D), suggesting that FA delivery to mitochondria is not impaired and may increase in the absence of DGAT1 activity.

To more specifically track FA flux, we employed isotopic palmitate tracing (Figure 5 and Table S3). In these experiments, isotopically labeled palmitate d14-free FA (FFA) was added at the beginning of the starvation together with vehicle or DGAT1i, and the incorporation of d14-FFA into lipids tracked by mass spectrometry. We observed incorporation of d14-FFA into 20 lipids, and incorporation into seven lipids was significantly altered by DGAT1 inhibition (Figures 5A–5H). As expected, DGAT1...
inhibition reduced d4-FFA incorporation into TAG species containing C16:0, including C16:0/C16:0: C16:0 TAG and C16:0/C18:1/C16:0 TAG (Figures 5A–5C). In addition, consistent with our steady-state lipidomics data (Figure 4), we observed increased incorporation of d4-FFA into C16:0 acylcarnitine (2.3-fold) in DGAT1i-treated cells (Figures 5A and 5D). These data indicate that the absence of DGAT1 activity disrupts FA channeling into TAG and instead results in FA incorporation into other lipid species, including acylcarnitines. In addition, during an incubation in HBSS with DGAT1i, co-treatment with ATGListatin stabilized TAGs as expected (Figures S6F–S6J), but did not block the increase in acylcarnitines (Figures S6K and S6L). Together, these results argue against the model that TAG-containing LDs are a requisite intermediate for FA delivery to mitochondria.

DGAT1-Dependent LD Biogenesis Prevents Acylcarnitine-Induced Mitochondrial Dysfunction during Starvation

To determine whether DGAT1-dependent LDs are important for cellular health under starvation conditions, we analyzed cell viability. Our results indicated that the inhibition of DGAT1, but not DGAT2, significantly increased the percentage of apoptotic cells during HBSS starvation (Figure 6A). LDs can play cytoprotective roles by sequestering FA and reducing the accumulation of various cytotoxic lipid species. This lipid sequestration function may prevent alterations in ER lipid homeostasis that cause inhibition.
more dramatic for DGAT1i-treated MEFs (0.46-fold) compared with the vehicle-treated MEFs (0.80-fold). Furthermore, inhibition of DGAT1 for 16 hr reduced mitochondrial membrane potential in HBSS-starved MEFs, but not MEFs incubated in CM (Figures 6D and 6E). These reductions in mitochondrial oxygen consumption and membrane potential were not due to a general decrease in

Figure 6. DGAT1-Dependent LD Biogenesis Protects Mitochondrial Function during Starvation

(A) MEFs were treated as indicated during a 16-hr HBSS starve. Cells were stained with propidium iodide and annexin V, and the percentage of cell death was measured by flow cytometry.

(B and C) Oxygen consumption rates (OCR) were measured for MEFs incubated in CM (B) or HBSS (C) together with vehicle or DGAT1i for 16 hr. Oligomycin, FCCP (carbonyl cyanide-4-(trifluoromethoxy)phenylhydrazone), and rotenone/antimycin were added at the indicated time points.

(D and E) Flow-cytometry histograms (D) and the corresponding quantification of mean fluorescent intensity (n = 3) (E) of MEFs stained with MitoTracker Orange CMTMRos following treatment with vehicle, DGAT1i, and etomoxir as indicated during an HBSS starvation for 16 hr. Etomoxir was added for the final 4 hr.

(F–J) Flow-cytometry histograms (F and I) and the corresponding quantification of mean fluorescent intensity (n = 3) (G and J) of MEFs stained with MitoTracker Orange CMTMRos following treatment with vehicle, DGAT1i, and etomoxir as indicated during an HBSS starvation for the indicated times.

(K) MEFs were incubated in CM or HBSS for 16 hr in the presence or absence of DGAT1i. Mitochondria stained with MitoTracker Orange CMTMRos (red) were visualized by fluorescence microscopy. White boxes indicate the magnified regions. Scale bars, 10 μm.

(L–N) Flow-cytometry histograms (L and M) and the corresponding quantification of mean fluorescent intensity (n = 3) (N) of MEFs stained with MitoTracker Orange CMTMRos following treatment with vehicle, DGAT1i, and etomoxir as indicated during an HBSS starvation for 16 hr. Etomoxir was added for the final 4 hr or 8 hr of the experiment where indicated.

(O) Mitochondria isolated from MEFs were stained with JC-9 and then incubated with increased concentrations of palmitoylcarnitine for 30 min. JC-9 fluorescence and mitochondrial diameter were measured by flow cytometry and the normalized mitochondrial membrane potential determined. The background value of depolarized mitochondria (depol.) was determined by incubation with valinomycin.

All graphical data are quantified as mean ± SEM (n = 3). An asterisk indicates a significant difference (*p < 0.05, t test). See also Figures S6 and S7.
the number of mitochondria because the signal from MitoTracker Green FM, which is unaffected by mitochondrial membrane potential, was unaltered by DGAT1 inhibition (Figures 6F and 6G). Analysis of mitochondrial membrane potential over time revealed that, during the HBSS starvation, the mitochondrial membrane potential was maintained for 8 hr and the DGAT1-induced uncoupling of the mitochondrial membrane potential became evident at the 12-hr and 16-hr time points (Figures 6H–6J). Although DGAT1 inhibition impacted mitochondrial membrane potential, it did not alter the ability of mitochondria to adopt an elongated morphology during starvation (Figure 6K), a morphology that has been proposed to reduce mitochondrial clearance by autophagy (Gomes et al., 2011; Rambold et al., 2011). Acylcarnitines exhibited the largest increase in response to DGAT1 inhibition (Figures 4 and 5) and acylcarnitines have been previously suggested to be lipotoxic (McCoy et al., 2015; Son et al., 2010; Wajner and Amaral, 2015). To explore the possibility that the increase in acylcarnitine levels affects mitochondrial function, we employed the CPT1 inhibitor etomoxir to reduce acylcarnitine accumulation and subsequent mitochondrial dysfunction (Gomes et al., 2011; Rambold et al., 2011).

Acylcarnitines exhibited the largest increase in response to DGAT1 inhibition (Figures 4 and 5) and acylcarnitines have been previously suggested to be lipotoxic (McCoy et al., 2015; Son et al., 2010; Wajner and Amaral, 2015). To explore the possibility that the increase in acylcarnitine levels affects mitochondrial function, we employed the CPT1 inhibitor etomoxir to reduce acylcarnitine accumulation and subsequent mitochondrial dysfunction (Gomes et al., 2011; Rambold et al., 2011).

In agreement with the importance of autophagy in the formation of LDs (Rambold et al., 2015), uncoupling the canonical mTORC1 signaling pathway from nutrient status by disrupting the Gator1 complex strongly blocked LD biogenesis during starvation. Interestingly, mTORC1 inhibition was sufficient to induce autophagy-dependent LD biogenesis in CM. This finding indicates that autophagy-dependent LD biogenesis is not limited to nutrient deprivation conditions and suggests that LD biogenesis is a general protective response to high levels of autophagy, which may be relevant to particular cancers, during development and aging, or following rapamycin treatment (Ravikumar et al., 2010). LDs may play a similar role to prevent lipotoxicity during the selective autophagic degradation of the ER (i.e., ERphagy) or mitochondria (i.e., mitophagy), which would be predicted to release FAs. It is worth noting that mTORC1 integrates a wide variety of nutrient, chemokine, and stress signals, and the alteration of mTORC1 activity could explain reported LD increases during diverse stress conditions, including proteasome inhibition (Xu et al., 2005, 2006) and ER stress (Gubern et al., 2009; Lee et al., 2012). Indeed, the sestrin proteins inhibit mTORC1 in response to tunicamycin-induced ER stress through their association with the Gator2 complex (Parmigiani et al., 2014), providing a mechanism connecting cellular stress with mTORC1 inhibition, autophagy, and possibly LDs.

The biogenesis of starvation-induced LDs specifically required DGAT1, but not DGAT2. Differences in the structure and distribution of DGAT1 and DGAT2 suggest that the two enzymes serve unique functions (Wilfling et al., 2013; Yen et al., 2008). In

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we examined the biogenesis and function of LDs during nutrient deprivation. Our data support a model (Figure 7) in which mTORC1-regulated autophagy degrades membranous organelles, releasing FAs that are selectively channeled by DGAT1 into new LDs. The biogenesis of LDs under these conditions is necessary to sequester FAs in TAG-rich LDs, preventing acylcarnitine accumulation and subsequent mitochondrial dysfunction. These data identify a distinct aspect of the cellular response to starvation and reveal a role for LDs as a lipid buffering system that protects against lipotoxicity during autophagy. These findings underscore the high degree of crosstalk between autophagy, the ER, LDs, and mitochondria that is essential to maintain lipid and energy homeostasis during nutrient deprivation.

In this study, we examined the biogenesis and function of LDs during nutrient deprivation. Our data support a model (Figure 7) in which mTORC1-regulated autophagy degrades membranous organelles, releasing FAs that are selectively channeled by DGAT1 into new LDs. The biogenesis of LDs under these conditions is necessary to sequester FAs in TAG-rich LDs, preventing acylcarnitine accumulation and subsequent mitochondrial dysfunction. These data identify a distinct aspect of the cellular response to starvation and reveal a role for LDs as a lipid buffering system that protects against lipotoxicity during autophagy. These findings underscore the high degree of crosstalk between autophagy, the ER, LDs, and mitochondria that is essential to maintain lipid and energy homeostasis during nutrient deprivation.

In this study, we examined the biogenesis and function of LDs during nutrient deprivation. Our data support a model (Figure 7) in which mTORC1-regulated autophagy degrades membranous organelles, releasing FAs that are selectively channeled by DGAT1 into new LDs. The biogenesis of LDs under these conditions is necessary to sequester FAs in TAG-rich LDs, preventing acylcarnitine accumulation and subsequent mitochondrial dysfunction. These data identify a distinct aspect of the cellular response to starvation and reveal a role for LDs as a lipid buffering system that protects against lipotoxicity during autophagy. These findings underscore the high degree of crosstalk between autophagy, the ER, LDs, and mitochondria that is essential to maintain lipid and energy homeostasis during nutrient deprivation.

In this study, we examined the biogenesis and function of LDs during nutrient deprivation. Our data support a model (Figure 7) in which mTORC1-regulated autophagy degrades membranous organelles, releasing FAs that are selectively channeled by DGAT1 into new LDs. The biogenesis of LDs under these conditions is necessary to sequester FAs in TAG-rich LDs, preventing acylcarnitine accumulation and subsequent mitochondrial dysfunction. These data identify a distinct aspect of the cellular response to starvation and reveal a role for LDs as a lipid buffering system that protects against lipotoxicity during autophagy. These findings underscore the high degree of crosstalk between autophagy, the ER, LDs, and mitochondria that is essential to maintain lipid and energy homeostasis during nutrient deprivation.

In this study, we examined the biogenesis and function of LDs during nutrient deprivation. Our data support a model (Figure 7) in which mTORC1-regulated autophagy degrades membranous organelles, releasing FAs that are selectively channeled by DGAT1 into new LDs. The biogenesis of LDs under these conditions is necessary to sequester FAs in TAG-rich LDs, preventing acylcarnitine accumulation and subsequent mitochondrial dysfunction. These data identify a distinct aspect of the cellular response to starvation and reveal a role for LDs as a lipid buffering system that protects against lipotoxicity during autophagy. These findings underscore the high degree of crosstalk between autophagy, the ER, LDs, and mitochondria that is essential to maintain lipid and energy homeostasis during nutrient deprivation.

In this study, we examined the biogenesis and function of LDs during nutrient deprivation. Our data support a model (Figure 7) in which mTORC1-regulated autophagy degrades membranous organelles, releasing FAs that are selectively channeled by DGAT1 into new LDs. The biogenesis of LDs under these conditions is necessary to sequester FAs in TAG-rich LDs, preventing acylcarnitine accumulation and subsequent mitochondrial dysfunction. These data identify a distinct aspect of the cellular response to starvation and reveal a role for LDs as a lipid buffering system that protects against lipotoxicity during autophagy. These findings underscore the high degree of crosstalk between autophagy, the ER, LDs, and mitochondria that is essential to maintain lipid and energy homeostasis during nutrient deprivation.
contrast to the ER-resident polytopic DGAT1, DGAT2 adopts a hairpin structure and is able to traffic to the surface of LDs, where it facilitates local TAG synthesis and LD expansion (Wilfling et al., 2013). DGAT1 mediates the formation of small LDs, and it was suggested that these LDs may function to protect the ER from accumulating lipotoxic intermediates (Wilfling et al., 2013). Our data are in agreement with a protective role for LDs, and emerging findings indicate a role for DGAT1-dependent LDs in mitigating lipotoxicity under multiple conditions, including high-fat diet conditions (Koliwad et al., 2010), models of lipotoxic cardiomyopathy (Liu et al., 2009, 2012, 2014), incubation with exogenous saturated FAs (Koliwad et al., 2010; Listenberger et al., 2003), and during periods of high autophagic flux (this work). In each of these examples, DGAT1 is likely playing a conceptually similar role, sequestering FAs as TAG in LDs and preventing the accumulation of a cytotoxic lipid species. However, the cytotoxic lipid species and the resulting downstream cellular dysfunctions may differ. For example, the addition of exogenous palmitate to CHO cells caused apoptosis associated with ceramide generation and ER stress induction (Listenberger et al., 2003). The addition of exogenous saturated FAs to macrophages resulted in altered ER phospholipid composition, upregulation of the IRE1 ER stress pathway, and activation of the NLRP3 inflammasome and secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines (Robblee et al., 2016). In mouse models of lipotoxic cardiomyopathy, DGAT1 protected against lipotoxicity associated with the accumulation of DAG and ceramide in cardiomyocytes (Liu et al., 2009, 2012, 2014). In contrast to these examples, DGAT1 inhibition in MEFs during starvation-induced autophagy was not associated with large changes in DAG or ceramide and also was not associated with increases in ROS or ER stress. Instead, autophagy-associated lipotoxicity was linked to acylcarnitine accumulation and mitochondrial dysfunction. Whether the lipotoxic disruption of mitochondrial function occurs in other models of lipotoxicity (e.g., exogenous saturated FAs addition or high-fat diet) remains to be determined. However, it is interesting to note that mitochondrial breakdown of FAs and LD sequestration of FAs may serve complementary roles in preventing lipotoxicity by reducing the levels of FFA. Thus, DGAT1-dependent LDs broadly mitigate lipotoxicity, but the cytotoxic lipid species and the downstream cellular dysfunction likely differ depending on the initiating cellular insult and cell type.

DGAT1 inhibition during starvation resulted in an increase in acylcarnitines, a small increase in ceramide, and no increase in other potentially cytotoxic lipids such as DAGs and FFA. Reducing acylcarnitine levels with the CPTI inhibitor etomoxir largely rescued mitochondrial membrane potential, implicating acylcarnitines as the cytotoxic culprit under these conditions. In addition, acylcarnitine disrupted the membrane potential of purified mitochondria in vitro, indicating that acylcarnitines are able to directly uncouple mitochondria. Our findings are consistent with previous suggestions that high concentrations of acylcarnitines may be cytotoxic and may disrupt mitochondrial function (McCoin et al., 2015; Son et al., 2010; Wajner and Amaral, 2015). This could be particularly relevant in the heart, which derives a large portion of its energy from FFAs oxidation. Indeed, it is noteworthy that acylcarnitine accumulation is associated with FA oxidation diseases and ischemia (McCoin et al., 2015; Son et al., 2010; Wajner and Amaral, 2015). In addition, improvements in cardiac function in a mouse model of cardiomyopathy were associated with reduced acylcarnitine levels, but not reductions in DAG, TAG, or ceramide levels, leading to the proposal that the accumulation of acylcarnitines may be lipotoxic in the heart (Son et al., 2010). Interestingly, N-acyl amino acids were also recently shown to act as direct mitochondrial uncouplers (Long et al., 2016), raising the possibility that this may be a common property of FA derivatives. Acylcarnitines are capable of disrupting membrane integrity in vitro (Requero et al., 1995a, 1995b), but whether mitochondrial uncoupling by acylcarnitine in cells is due to direct disruption of mitochondrial membrane integrity or involves association with mitochondrial proteins is unclear at this time. Due to their interrelationship, mitochondrial dysfunction and the accumulation of acylcarnitines could result in a positive feedback loop that further increases toxicity.

We observed that the autophagy-dependent pool of LDs was lipolytically degraded by the LD-associated lipase ATGL during nutrient deprivation; and together, our data lead us to propose that LDs mediate two distinct functions during starvation: to protect against lipotoxicity and then to serve as a lipolytically regulated source of FAs. The autophagy-dependent LDs clustered in close proximity to mitochondria and LD-mitochondrial contacts have been proposed to function as sites for FA transfer (Herms et al., 2015; Rambold et al., 2015). This model is attractive because the membrane contact sites could enable channeling of FAs efficiently into the β-oxidation pathway, reducing the danger of lipotoxicity due to cytoplasmic passage of FFAs. The ER makes extensive contacts with organelles throughout the cell (Prinz, 2014; Toulmay and Prinz, 2011), facilitating organelle-to-organelle lipid exchange and acting as an organizing scaffold for organelle events, such as mitochondrial (Friedman et al., 2011) and endosomal (Rowland et al., 2014) fission. LDs are ER-derived organelles that form extensive contacts with the ER (Salo et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2016; Wilfling et al., 2013), and the ER is also known to contact mitochondria (Vance, 2014). It is possible that ER serves as an organizer of LD-mitochondrial contacts and thereby coordinates a protected pathway for FA channeling to mitochondria from autolysosomes. However, the identity of an LD-mitochondrial tethering complex, the role of the ER in organizing LD-mitochondrial interactions, as well as the functional importance of these organelle contact sites in FA transfer and energy homeostasis remain to be determined. Emerging findings indicate multiple modes of crosstalk between LDs and autophagy. For example, in liver cells a selective autophagic pathway termed lipophagy degrades LDs and inhibition of autophagy in liver cells results in LD accumulation (Martinez-Lopez and Singh, 2015; Singh et al., 2009). Lipophagy does not appear to play a role during nutrient deprivation in our cell types, since LDs decrease following inhibition of autophagy. In addition, we found that LD degradation in MEFs during starvation was inhibited by ATGL Listatin, and it was previously shown that LD degradation upon starvation was prevented by siRNA depletion of the LD-associated lipase ATGL (Rambold et al., 2015), consistent with the dominance of the LD lipolytic pathway. LDs have also been suggested to be required for autophagy, either by supplying lipids for autophagosome biogenesis or by sequestering lipids that disrupt ER homeostasis and impair autophagosome biogenesis (Dupont et al., 2014; Li et al., 2015; Shiplka et al., 2015; Velázquez et al., 2016). However, under
our conditions, autophagic flux and degradation of autophagy substrates (e.g., LC3 and p62) were unaffected by the loss of DGAT1-dependent LDs. Thus, it is likely that nature of the LD-autophagy relationship is cell type and context specific.

Together, our findings demonstrate that DGAT1-dependent LD biogenesis protects against lipotoxic mitochondrial damage and that LDs are integrally involved in the coordinated, adaptive response to nutrient deprivation. These finding raise the possibility that LDs are more widely involved as protective lipid buffering systems in cellular stress responses, especially those involving altered mTORC1 signaling and upregulation of autophagy. Future research examining the mechanism of mitochondrial dysfunction under these conditions will be important to achieve a comprehensive understanding of the organelle crosstalk underlying cellular lipid and energy homeostasis.
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### STAR METHODS

**KEY RESOURCES TABLE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Antibodies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit monoclonal anti-Phospho-p70 S6 Kinase (Thr389)</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Cat. # 9234, 108D2; RRID: AB_2269803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-p70 S6 Kinase</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Cat. #9202; RRID: AB_10695156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-AMPKα</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Cat. # 2532; RRID: AB_330331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse monoclonal anti-Glyceraldehyde-3-Phosphate Dehydrogenase</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat. # MAB374MI, 6C5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-LC3B antibody</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>L7543; RRID: AB_796155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-p62 antibody</td>
<td>Enzo Life Sciences</td>
<td>BML-PW9860; RRID: AB_2196009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit monoclonal anti-Phospho-ULK1 (Ser757)</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Cat. # 14202, D7O6U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit monoclonal anti-ULK1</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Cat. # 8054, DBH5; RRID: AB_11178668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-ATF4</td>
<td>Proteintech Group</td>
<td>Cat. # 10835-1-AP; RRID: AB_2058600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bafilomycin A1 (250 nM)</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>Cat. # B1793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCV-3166 (1 μM)</td>
<td>3-V Biosciences</td>
<td>Obtained from 3-V Biosciences through MTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AICAR (250 μM)</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Cat. #9944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compound C (10 μM)</td>
<td>Tocris</td>
<td>Cat. # 3093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torin1 (250 nM)</td>
<td>Tocris</td>
<td>Cat. # 4247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T863 (20 μM)</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>Cat. # SML0539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF-06424439 (10 μM)</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>Cat. # P20233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSK2606414 (1 μM)</td>
<td>EMD Millipore Corporation</td>
<td>Cat. # 516535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oleate (200 μM)</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>Cat. # O1383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunicamycin (5 μg/mL)</td>
<td>Cayman Chemical</td>
<td>Cat. # 11445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etomoxir (100 μM)</td>
<td>Cayman Chemical</td>
<td>Cat. # 11969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATGListatin (20 μM)</td>
<td>EMD Millipore</td>
<td>Cat. # 5.30151.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BODIPY 493/503 (4,4-Difluoro-1,3,5,7,8-Pentamethyl-4-Bora-3a,4a-Diaza-s-Indacene)</td>
<td>Life Technologies</td>
<td>Cat. # D3922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BODIPY 558/568 C12 (4,4-Difluoro-5-(2-Thienyl)-4-Bora-3a,4a-Diaza-s-Indacene-3-Dodecanonic Acid)</td>
<td>Life Technologies</td>
<td>Cat. # D3835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MitoTracker Orange CMTMRos</td>
<td>Life Technologies</td>
<td>Cat. # M7510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MitoTracker Green FM</td>
<td>Life Technologies</td>
<td>Cat. # M7514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MitoTracker Deep Red FM</td>
<td>Life Technologies</td>
<td>Cat. # M22426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MitoSOX Red Mitochondrial Superoxide Indicator (5 μM)</td>
<td>Life Technologies</td>
<td>Cat. # M36008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CellROX Deep Red Reagent, for oxidative stress detection</td>
<td>Life Technologies</td>
<td>Cat. # C10422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BODIPY 581/591 C11 (Lipid Peroxidation Sensor)</td>
<td>Life Technologies</td>
<td>Cat. # D3861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JC-9 Dye (Mitochondrial Membrane Potential Probe)</td>
<td>Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat. # D22421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valinomycin</td>
<td>Sigma Aldrich</td>
<td>Cat. # V0627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Critical Commercial Assays</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seahorse XF24 FluxPak (includes necessary reagents – oligomycin, rotenone/antimycin, FCCP)</td>
<td>Agilent Technologies</td>
<td>Cat. # 100850-001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FITC Annexin V Apoptosis Detection Kit I</td>
<td>BD Biosciences / BD Pharmingen</td>
<td>Cat. # 556547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kits</td>
<td>Applied Biosystems</td>
<td>Cat. # 4368814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SsoAdvanced Universal SYBR® Green Supermix</td>
<td>Bio-Rad Laboratories</td>
<td>Cat. # 1725272</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued on next page)
CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, James A. Olzmann (olzmann@berkeley.edu). Requests will be handled according to the University of California, Berkeley policies regarding MTA and related matters.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Cell Culture

MEFs, U2OS, HeLa, HEK293T/17, and Huh7 cells were cultured in DMEM containing 4.5 g/L glucose and L-glutamine (Corning) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Thermo Fisher Scientific and Gemini Bio Products) at 37°C and 5% CO₂. Nprl2 knockout MEFs were generated by infection with LentiCRISPR-V2 constructs (Sanjana et al., 2014) expressing gRNAs targeting EXON2 or EXON3 of Nprl2 (see Key Resources Table for sequences). HCC1500 cells (ATCC) were cultured in RPMI-1640 (ATCC) supplemented with 10% FBS at 37°C and 5% CO₂. SW780 (ATCC) cells were cultured in Leibovitz's L-15 (ATCC) supplemented with 10% FBS in the absence of CO₂. Unless specified, starvation conditions consisted of growth in Hank’s Balancing Salt Solution (HBSS) (Invitrogen) for 16 hr. For deprivation of amino acids, cells were cultured in DMEM (D9800-13, US Biological) supplemented with 4.5g/L glucose, and 10% FBS. For low glucose, high glucose, and glutamine starvation, DMEM (A1443001, Life Technologies) was supplemented with 10% FBS, 4 mM glutamine (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and 1 g/L glucose (low glucose conditions) or 4.5 g/L (high glucose) accordingly.

REAGENT or RESOURCE SOURCE IDENTIFIER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experimental Models: Cell Lines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) isolated from C57 mice</td>
<td>Kind gift from Dr. Joseph Napoli (University of California, Berkeley)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U2OS</td>
<td>Kind gift from Dr. Ron Kopito (Stanford University)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HeLa</td>
<td>ATCC Cat. # CCL-2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEK 293T/17</td>
<td>ATCC Cat. # CRL-11268</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huh7</td>
<td>Kind gift from Dr. Holly Ramage (University of Pennsylvania)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligonucleotides</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nprl2 gRNA #1, Exon 2-1</td>
<td>This study, <a href="http://crispr.mit.edu">http://crispr.mit.edu</a></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CACCGGAGAGCAGCTTGATCCCAACG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nprl2 gRNA #1, Exon 2-2</td>
<td>This study, <a href="http://crispr.mit.edu">http://crispr.mit.edu</a></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAACCGTTGGATAACGGCTGCTCC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nprl2 gRNA #2, Exon 3-1</td>
<td>This study, <a href="http://crispr.mit.edu">http://crispr.mit.edu</a></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CACCGATGGGAAACCCAGTCAATGT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nprl2 gRNA #2, Exon 3, 2</td>
<td>This study, <a href="http://crispr.mit.edu">http://crispr.mit.edu</a></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAACACATTGGACCGGGTTGCCCAC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primers for RTqPCR and RT-PCR, see Table S4</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recombinant DNA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMRX-IP-GFP-LC3-RFP-LC3ΔG</td>
<td>Kazuaka et al., 2016</td>
<td>Addgene plasmid # 84572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Str-KDEL_SBP-EGFP-E-cadherin plasmid</td>
<td>Boncompain et al., 2012</td>
<td>Kind gift from Dr. Boncompain (Institut Curie)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LentiCRISPR-V2</td>
<td>Sanjana et al., 2014</td>
<td>Addgene Plasmid #52961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software and Algorithms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ImageJ Software</td>
<td>Schneider et al., 2012</td>
<td><a href="https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/">https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GraphPad Prism</td>
<td>GraphPad Software</td>
<td><a href="https://www.graphpad.com/scientific-software/prism/">https://www.graphpad.com/scientific-software/prism/</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**METHOD DETAILS**

**Fluorescence Microscopy**

Cells grown on poly-L-lysine-coated coverslips were incubated in the presence or absence of 200 μM oleate for 16 hr. For the last 30 minutes of treatment, 100 nM of MitoTracker Orange CMTMros was added to the cells. Cells were then washed with PBS, fixed for 15 min in PBS containing 4% (wt/vol) parafomaldehyde, and washed again with PBS. LDs (10 μg BODIPY 493/403; Thermo Fisher Scientific) and nuclei (100 mg DAPI; Thermo Fisher Scientific) were stained by incubating with staining buffer [PBS and 1% (wt/vol) bovine serum albumin] for 1 hr at room temperature. Cells were subsequently washed with staining buffer and mounted in Fluoromount G (Southern Biotech). Stained cells were analyzed by Deltavision Elite widefield epifluorescence deconvolution microscope with either a 40x air objective or a 60x oil immersion objective.

For live-cell imaging, 5 X 10⁵ cells were seeded on Nunc LabTek II chambered coverglass. MitoTracker Orange CMTMROS was added to cells for 30 min at 37°C, cells were washed with HBSS, and LDs were stained with 1ug BODIPY 493/503. Prior to imaging, chemical inhibitors were added to cells. Cells were incubated at 37°C in a 5% CO2 humidified chamber and images were taken every 10 min for 18 hr using a Deltavision Elite widefield epifluorescence deconvolution microscope with a 60x oil immersion objective.

**Immunoblotting**

Cells were washed in PBS and lysed in 1% SDS. Protein amounts were normalized using bicinchoninic acid (BCA) Protein Assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Proteins were separated on 4-20% polyacrylamide gradient gels (Bio-Rad) and transferred onto low fluorescence PVDF or nitrocellulose membranes (Bio-Rad). Membranes were washed in PBS + 0.1% Tween-20 (PBST) and blocked in 5% (wt/vol) dried nonfat milk in PBST for 30 min to reduce non-specific antibody binding. Membranes were incubated for at least 2 hr in PBST containing 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) (Sigma Aldrich) and primary antibodies. Following washing in PBST, membranes were incubated with fluorescent secondary antibodies were diluted in 1% BSA in PBST at room temperature for 1 hr. IRDye680 and IRDye800 conjugated secondary antibodies for immunoblotting were obtained from Li-COR Biosciences. All immunoblots were visualized on a LI-COR imager (LI-COR Biosciences).

**Lipidomics**

Lipidomics experiments were performed as described previously (Benjamin et al., 2015; Louie et al., 2013, 2016; To et al., 2017). MEFs seeded (5 X 10⁶ cells) in a 60 mm dish were incubated in CM or HBSS. Cells were treated with DGAT1 inhibitor for 16 hr and etomoxir for the last 4 or 8 hr of starvation, where indicated. For isotopic analysis of palmitate incorporation MEFs were starved in HBSS and incubated with d₀-palmitate acid or (7,7,8,8-d₄)-palmitic acid (10μM in 0.1% BSA) together with vehicle or DGAT1 inhibitor for 16 hr. Cells were washed twice in PBS, collected by centrifugation at 500g, and cell pellets frozen at -80°C until lipid extraction.

Lipids were extracted from cells in a 2:1:1 chloroform:methanol:phosphate-buffered saline solution with inclusion of internal standards (10 nmoles of dodecylglycerol and 10 nmoles of pentadecanoinic acid). The organic layer was collected and aqueous layer was acidified with 0.1% formic acid and re-extracted in chloroform. The organic layer was combined and dried down under a stream of nitrogen. Dried extracts were resolubilized in chloroform and 10 μl was injected onto an Agilent 6400 triple quadrupole (QQQ)-liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) instrument. Metabolites were quantified by integrating the area under the curve. This value was normalized to internal standards and the levels calculated based on external standard curves with representative lipids standards. In cases in which a there was a background peak for the isotopic d₄-lipid in the d₀-C₁₆:0 treated group, we subtracted the average of the background form both d₀- and d₄-C₁₆:0 treated group.

**Viability Assay**

MEF cells were seeded (2 X 10⁵ cells) and upon adherence, cells were incubated in CM or HBSS and treated with DGAT1 inhibitor for 16 hr. Floating cells in the media were collected and adherent cells were trypsinized. Cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 500g for 5 min, washed in PBS, and stained with the Annexin V-FITC apoptosis Detection Kit I (BD Biosciences), which includes Annexin V-FITC and propidium iodide, according to manufacturer protocol. Fluorescence was analyzed using a BD Biosciences LSRFortessa and the percentage of cell death quantified using FlowJo Software.

**Mitochondrial Membrane Potential and ROS**

MEF cells (2 X 10⁵) were seeded and upon adherence, cells were incubated in CM or HBSS and treated with DGAT1 inhibitor or etomoxir for the time-points indicated. Cells were trypsinized and pellet by centrifugation at 500×g for 5 min, washed with PBS. For mitochondria membrane potential, cells were stained with 100 nM MitoTracker Orange CMTMros and MitoTracker Green FM (ThermoFisher Scientific) for 30 min. Data was acquired by the BD BIOSciences LSRFortessa using the PE-Tx-Red and FITC channel and quantified by FlowJo Software. A minimum of 10,000 cells were analyzed per condition.

To measure ROS production, cells were incubated with 5 μM MitoSOX Red (mitochondrial superoxide indicator), CellROX Deep Red Reagent (oxidative stress indicator), or BODIPY 581/591 C11 (lipid peroxidation sensor) (ThermoFisher Scientific) for 30min at 37°C. Cells were then analyzed by flow cytometry and data were collected from the PE-Tx-Red YG (MitoSOX, C11-BODIPY), APC (CellROX) and FITC (BODIPY 581/591 C11) channels.
Analysis of Autophagic Flux

pMRX-IP-GFP-LC3-RFP-LC3ΔG plasmid (Kaizuka et al., 2016) was obtained from Addgene (plasmid # 84572) and retrovirus produced in 293T/17 cells. Infected MEFs were selected with 3 μg/mL puromycin and expressing cells were isolated using the BD Bioscience LSIFlux Sorter. Cells were starved, treated accordingly for 16 hr, and flow cytometry data was acquired using the BD Biosciences LSRFortessa FITC and PE-Tx-Red YG channels. The ratio of GFP to RFP for individual cells was quantified using FlowJo Software.

Mitochondrial Respiration Measurements

Mitochondrial activity was determined using the Seahorse Flux Analyzer XF24 according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, 5 X 10⁶ cells were seeded on Seahorse 24-well plates. After 24 hr, cells were incubated in HBSS for 16 hr in the presence or absence indicated treatments. The OCRs were average from three independent experiments and normalized by cell number. Samples were mixed (3 min), time delayed (2 min), and measured (3 min). Oligomycin (250 nM), FCCP (250 nM), and rotenone / antimycin (100 nM) were injected at the indicated time points. The mean ± SEM was determined and statistical significance was evaluated using the Student t test with a P value < 0.05.

Membrane Potential of Isolated Mitochondria

Mitochondria were isolated from four P150 (15 cm) plates of MEFs via a previously described differential centrifugation protocol (Daniele et al., 2016). Briefly, PBS from previous washes was replaced with filtered mitochondrial isolation buffer (MIB) [50 mM KCl, 110 mM Mannitol, 70 mM Sucrose, 0.1 mM EDTA (pH 8.0), 5 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), and Protease Inhibitors (Calbiochem)] and cells were homogenized by five passes through a 27.5 gauge needle. JC-9 (3,3'-dime thyl-δ-Diethylaminostyryl-2,4-diacetoxyfluorescein) (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was added [final 10 μM] (except for the “unlabeled mitochondria” control) and the stained mitochondria then aliquoted into Eppendorf tubes. Tubes were then centrifuged at 200 x g for 5 min at 4°C. The supernatant was removed and transferred to new tubes, which were subsequently spun at 800 x g for 10 min at 4°C. The supernatant from these tubes was spun once more at 12,000 x g for 10 min at 4°C. Finally, this supernatant was removed and mitochondria pellets were resuspended in filtered MIB and kept on ice. Three technical replicates were completed for every biological replicate performed. Tubes containing mitochondria then had palmitoyl-DL-carnitine (AC) added to different final concentrations (0 μM, 10 μM, 25 μM, 50 μM, 100 μM) after which samples were incubated on ice for ~30 min and then analyzed by flow cytometry (LSR Fortessa Analyzer). Once all “polarized” samples were run, valinomycin [final 12 μM] was added to these set-aside tubes for 3-5 min and then mitochondria were re-analyzed. JC-9 dye, similar to JC-1 dye, is incompatible with CCCP and FCCP, therefore valinomycin was used to depolarize mitochondria (Cossarizza et al., 1993; Daniele et al., 2016; Reers et al., 1991; Wolken and Arriaga, 2014). All “depolarized” sample controls were analyzed in this manner. A tube containing filtered MIB and JC-9 alone was included in these spins to use as a dye-alone control during all experiments. An additional tube with lysate, but no JC-9 dye, was also included in the spins to control for “unlabeled mitochondria”. Tubes with MIB and AC alone at [10 μM, 25 μM, 50 μM, 100 μM] were also run after ~30 min on ice.

Data was acquired using an LSR Fortessa Analyzer using the forward scatter (FSC), side scatter (SSC, “Granularity”) (488 nm/10), FITC (“Green channel”) (525 nm/50 with a 500 nm Long Pass filter), and PE-Tx-Red YG (“Red channel”) (610 nm/20 with a 600 nm Long Pass filter) filters. Although all data points were recorded, lasers and acquisition settings were calibrated to nullify any signal from unlabeled mitochondria or aggregates of JC-9 dye, valinomycin, or palmitoyl-DL-carnitine (AC) in the fluorescent channels used. For all experiments, only singlets (or single mitochondria) were used when creating any plots or performing any statistical analyses. FlowJo v10 was used to process the data. With respect to mitochondrial morphology measurements, mitochondrial diameter was determined by extrapolating from forward scatter (FSC) data acquired using standard beads from Duke Standards (NIST Traceable Polymer Microspheres, catalog #3K-400, 3K-700, and 3K-1000). Mitochondria diameter (nm) = y = 123.08*(FSC)^0.244, R² = 0.998, Residual sum of squares (RSS) = 467.31. This is an established use of FSC to approximate mitochondrial size (Beavis et al., 1985; Knight et al., 1981; Petit, 1992). Notably, AC suspended alone in MIB is autofluorescent in the Green channel, which made ratiometric determination of membrane potential (e.g. Red/Green fluorescence) a poor predictor of this quality, especially with depolarized mitochondria. Importantly, AC was not auto-fluorescent in the Red channel, so to normalize the amount of Red JC-9 aggregates (an indicator of membrane potential) we divided this value by the calculated diameter of mitochondria.

RT-qPCR and RT-PCR

RNA from cells was harvested using TRIzol (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to manufacturer’s protocol. Synthesis of cDNA from total RNA was performed using High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kits (Applied Biosystems). Primers were order from Integrated DNA Technologies and used in conjunction with 2X SYBR master mix (Bio-Rad), and a 3-step amplification repeated 40X on CFX96 thermocycler (Bio-Rad). RT-qPCR primers used in this study are listed in Table S4.

The expression of spliced and full length XBP-1 was determine by RT-PCR with oligonucleotide sense primer: 5’-AAACAGAGTAGCAGCTGACAGCTGC-3’ and anti-sense primer: 5’-AAAAGTAAGACAGCCAGACTGC-3’. Amplified PCR products were resolved on a 2.5% agarose gel, visualized using a Gel Doc imaging system (Bio-Rad), and the density of each band was quantified using ImageJ software (Schneider et al., 2012).
Retention Using Selective Hooks (RUSH) System

The RUSH system to analyze secretory function was previously described (Boncompain et al., 2012). MEF grown in DMEM with 10% dialyzed FBS (Life Technologies) were transfected with the Str-KDEL_SBP-EGFP-E-cadherin plasmid using Fugene 6 (Promega) according to manufacturer’s protocol. Transfected cells grown on coverslips were incubated in CM or in HBSS for 16hr in the presence of DMSO or DGAT1 inhibitor. 40 µM biotin (Sigma) was added for 0 or 60 min to induce release of the SBP-EGFP-E-cadherin fusion reporter. Cells were fixed for 15 min in PBS containing 4% (wt/vol) paraformaldehyde, washed with PBS, and coverslips mounted in Fluoromount G (Southern Biotech). Transfected cells were imaged using a Deltavision Elite widefield epifluorescence deconvolution microscope with a 60× oil immersion objective.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

**Fluorescence Microscopy**

Fluorescence microscopy images acquired using a Delavision Elite were quantified using ImageJ software (Schneider et al., 2012). Images were thresholded, the area of BODIPY 493/503 stained LDs were quantified from three independent experiments (average of 50 cells per experiment), and the mean ± SEM was determined. Statistical significance was evaluated using the Student t test with a p-value < 0.05.

To analyze the proximity of mitochondria and LDs, images were acquired every 5 sec for 3 min. Relative LD proximity to mitochondria was calculating by measuring the distance from the center of the LD to the center of the nearest mitochondrion in 10 cells per condition (245 LDs in CM and 306 LDs in HBSS). Data was presented as box and whisker plot generated in GraphPad Prism Software. Statistical significance was evaluated using the Student t test with a p-value < 0.05.

**Immunoblotting**

Immunoblots were visualized on a LI-COR imager (LI-COR Biosciences) and band density quantified using ImageJ software (Schneider et al., 2012). Mean ± SEM was determined from three independent experiments. Statistical significance was evaluated using the Student t test with a p-value < 0.05.

**Flow Cytometry Analyses**

FlowJo software was employed for analysis of flow cytometry data. The mean ± SEM was determined from three independent experiments. Statistical significance was evaluated using the Student t test with a p-value < 0.05.

**RTqPCR**

The mean ± SEM was determined from three independent experiments. Statistical significance was evaluated using the Student t test with a p-value < 0.05.