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Abstract

Healthy, functional mitochondria are central to the maintenance of many cellular and physiological phenomena, including aging, metabolism, and stress resistance. A key feature of healthy mitochondria is a high membrane potential (Δψ) or charge differential between the matrix and inner mitochondrial membrane. Mitochondrial Δψ has been extensively characterized via flow cytometry in the context of intact cells, in which an average signal is generated across all the mitochondria contained within a cell. However, mitochondrial populations differ dramatically even within a single cell, and thus interrogation of mitochondrial quality at the organelle level is necessary to better understand and accurately measure this heterogeneity. Here we describe a new flow cytometric methodology that enables the quantification and classification of mitochondrial subtypes (via their Δψ, size, and substructure) using the small animal model C. elegans. Future application of this methodology should allow research to discern the bioenergetic and mitochondrial component in a number of human disease and aging models (e.g. C. elegans, cultured cells, small animal models, and human biopsy samples).

Keywords
isolated organelle; mitochondrial subtypes; flow cytometry; individual organelle analysis; tissue-specific organelle analysis

Introduction

Mitochondrial Subtype Identification and Characterization via Flow Cytometry

Organismal bioenergetics is at the heart of a wide array of cellular and physiological phenomena. Although tissue-specific mitochondrial dysfunction (e.g. brain, muscle, liver) has been described for multiple mitochondrial diseases, methods to characterize mitochondrial heterogeneity and tissue-specific variation in mitochondrial function have been lacking (Sharpley et al., 2012; Ylikallio and Suomalainen, 2011). One method of measuring mitochondrial health is their membrane potential (Δψ), or charge differential
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between the matrix and inner mitochondrial membrane, a feature of strong electron transport chain-mediated ATP generation. Using the ratiometric dye, JC-1, flow cytometry has been applied to the study of mitochondrial Δψ in single cells and isolated mitochondria (Parone et al., 2008; Cossarizza and Salvioni, 2001; Gravance et al., 2000; Chen et al., 2005; Adhihetty et al., 2005; Smiley et al., 1991; Saunders et al., 2013; Cossarizza et al., 1996; Vander Heiden et al., 1997; Narendra et al., 2008). JC-9, the dye used in this study, is a similarly small, ratiometric, cyanine dye, but is more lipophilic than JC-1. It accumulates in the mitochondrial matrix as both a green “monomeric” and a red “aggregate” fluorescent form. Upon being driven into the interior-negative mitochondrial matrix, its monomer can accumulate there independent of membrane potential. Formation of the red aggregate state, conversely, increases as mitochondria become more polarized (Figure 4A). JC-9 was chosen over comparable mitochondrial dyes because of its high signal to noise ratio in labeling mitochondria (>10-fold above controls), ratiometric properties (which enable size-normalized Δψ measurement) and compatibility with blue-fluorescent proteins (for tissue-specific mitochondrial studies). Utilizing JC-9, in conjunction with flow cytometry, enables highly sensitive measurements of organelle features (e.g. Δψ, size, and substructure) for any tissue across animals of any age, and is an effective complement to microscopy-based studies of mitochondria. Notably, while our data suggests that isolated mitochondria respire normally and resemble the size, substructure, and Δψ measured in vivo we cannot rule out the possibility that our method might cause some sampling bias due to disruption of the in vivo mitochondrial network prior to tissue homogenization (see Daniele et al., 2016 for a discussion of quantitative comparisons against conventional isolation techniques for mitochondrial mass yield and in vivo vs. in vitro mitochondrial properties). Nonetheless, the ability to define multiple energetic subtypes (similar to previously described reports) indicates our method is applicable and practical in this space.

**BASIC PROTOCOL 1. MITOCHONDRIAL SUBTYPE IDENTIFICATION AND CHARACTERIZATION IN C. ELEGANS VIA FLOW CYTOMETRIC ANALYSIS**

While the identification and characterization of mitochondrial subtypes is paramount to understanding the pathology and tissue etiology of mitochondrial disease, the ability to isolate and characterize mitochondrial membrane potential (Δψ) from the nematode, *Caenorhabditis elegans* (*C. elegans*), has not been demonstrated. Since Δψ perturbation has been associated with lifespan extension, fertility, apoptosis, and increased heat resistance in nematodes, we applied flow cytometry to analyze Δψ in isolated mitochondria (Brys et al., 2010; Kuang and Ebert, 2012; Lemire et al., 2009; Tsang and Lemire, 2002; Jagasia et al., 2005; Hicks et al., 2012). Notably, the small size, fast generation time, transparent cuticle, and multicellularity (nervous system, digestive system, musculature) of this organism has made it well-suited to aging and mitochondria research. To date, most *C. elegans* research in mitochondria has been done via microscopy. Studies using isolated mitochondria are rare due to the difficulty in isolating high quality mitochondria from nematodes, particularly due to their tough outer cuticle. Flow cytometry has been previously used to to analyze reactive oxygen species in isolated *C. elegans* mitochondria (Yang and Hekimi, 2010). Here we describe a new flow cytometry approach to isolate *C. elegans* mitochondria and analyze...
$\Delta \psi$ and [2] demonstrate the potential of this approach to identify mitochondrial subtypes based on their bioenergetics and morphology.

**Materials**

- ~2,000–10,000 wild-type (e.g. N2) *C. elegans* nematodes (or eggs), per sample or isolate, synchronized at optimal growth temperature (e.g. 20° C) using previously published developmental timing and worm growth media (Brenner, 1974; Byerly et al., 1976).

1 mM JC-9 (3,3′-Dimethyl-α-naphthoxacarbocyanine iodide) (D-22421, Thermo Fisher) in DMSO

10 mM valinomycin (V0627, Sigma Aldrich) in DMSO

Collagenase Type 3 (“Collagenase 3 enzyme”, CLS 3- LS004182, Worthington)

Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (539134, Calbiochem)

Glass Pasteur pipettes (9 inch, VWR)

Glass homogenizer (2 mL with small clearance pestle (0.07 mm), Kimble chase)

0.22 μm Tube Top Filters (50 mL polystyrene, 430320, Corning)

0.22 μm-filter-sterilized Collagenase 3 buffer (See reagents and solutions)

Autoclaved M9 Buffer (See reagents and solutions)

0.22 μm-filter sterilized Mitochondria Isolation Buffer (MIB) + Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (1:1000) (See reagents and solutions)

15 mL centrifuge tubes with screw caps (21008-216, VWR)

1.7 mL microcentrifuge tubes (MCT-175-C-S, Axygen)

5 mL polystyrene round-bottom tubes (352052, Falcon)

Table-top centrifuge that accommodates 15 mL conical tubes (e.g. Eppendorf Centrifuge 5702R with a 5702/R A-4-38 rotor and IL025 swinging buckets with plastic inserts)

Table-top refrigerated centrifuge set to 4° C (e.g. Eppendorf Centrifuge 5424R with the 5424/R rotor with screw-on lid, FA-45-24-11).

BD LSR Fortessa Analyzer with the following filters: forward scatter (FSC), side scatter (SSC, “Granularity/Substructure”) (488 nm/10), FITC (“Green channel”) (525 nm/50 with a 500 nm Long Pass filter), and PE-Tx-Red YG (“Red channel”) (610 nm/20 with a 600nm Long Pass filter).

FACS Rinse (340346, BD Bioscience) or 5% Contrad 70 Solution (Decon. Labs, 1002)

Duke Standards (NIST Traceable Polymer Microspheres, catalog #3K-200, 3K-400, 3K-700, and 3K-1000, ThermoFisher)

FlowJo v10 software (or a comparable software program)
Part I: Mitochondrial Isolation from C. elegans (adapted from Gandre and van der Bliek, 2007)

1 Wash worms off plates using 14 mL of M9 and transfer to a 15 mL tube. Spin down for 30 seconds at 1000xG in a table top centrifuge at room temperature. Aspirate M9 (leaving worm pellet unperturbed).

*Mitochondrial isolation from cultured cells is detailed in Nguyen et al., 2017. Since cultured cells do not have to be homogenized into individual cells, we lysed cell pellets using 5 passes through a 27½ gauge needle. All downstream steps were identical. We have confirmed this isolation technique works with mouse cells (e.g. mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs), Nguyen et al., 2017) and various human cell lines. Additional materials needed for this isolation will include a Luer-Lok Tip syringe (5 mL, BD) and needle (27.5G, BD).*

2 Resuspend worms in 10 mL of filter-sterilized Collagenase 3 buffer with Collagenase 3 enzyme [final 1 mg/mL] added and gently agitate for 1 hour at 20° C (Cox et al., 1981).

3 Dilute out collagenase from worm mix on ice with 3 serial washes of autoclaved M9 buffer. In between the washes, spin down worms at room temperature, and aspirate M9 down to the pellet as in Step 1.

4 Add 500 μL of chilled MIB buffer to worm pellet, transfer to a glass homogenizer (via a 9-inch glass Pasteur pipette), and homogenize with ~18 strokes.

*A simple method to determine if the worms are sufficiently homogenized is to look at a sample of the lysate and check under a dissecting microscope if worm carcasses are broken up and visibly split open.*

5 Transfer lysate to 1.7 mL microcentrifuge tubes using a glass Pasteur pipette and add 0.5 μL of JC-9 stock solution [final 10 μM].

*Include two to three extra tubes in the spins to use for proper flow cytometry controls. These include [1] a tube that consists only of MIB and JC-9 dye to identify pelleted dye aggregates and [2] a tube that consists only of lysate (no dye added) so that unlabeled mitochondria can be identified and [3] if using mitochondria that can be identified in any other way, e.g. with a blue fluorescent protein (see Daniele et al., 2016, Figure 4), include a “no dye” control of this lysate as well.*

*A minimum of three technical replicates are recommended for every biological replicate performed.*

6 Spin tubes at 200xG for 5 minutes at 4° C in a table-top centrifuge (see Figure 1).

Tubes are to stay on ice when not in the centrifuge.
Carefully remove ~400 μL of the supernatant (leave ~100 μL so as not to disturb the pellet), transfer to fresh microcentrifuge tubes, and spin at 800xG for 10 minutes at 4°C.

Pellet in the first two spins should consist of nuclei, whole cells, worm carcasses, and unbound dye aggregate.

Transfer ~300 μL of the supernatant to fresh tubes, again leaving ~100 μL and spin the supernatant at 12,000xG for 10 minutes at 4°C.

Pellet in the third, and final, spin should include mitochondria, lysosomes, and peroxisomes.

Remove ~200 μL of supernatant, leaving ~100 μL. Resuspend these mitochondria (which are enriched in the pellet and should maintain their in vivo membrane potential, “polarized,” for up to 3 hours on ice) in 500 μL chilled, filtered MIB. Analyze samples on flow cytometer, ideally, within 1 hour of isolation.

If samples are kept on ice, a membrane potential will persist for up to 3 hours after mitochondrial isolation. However, a noticeable drop in the magnitude and range of the JC-9 ratio has been observed following 1 hour on ice.

Part II: Running Samples on the Flow Cytometer

Transfer isolated mitochondria to 5 mL round-bottom tubes on ice.

To avoid background noise from the flow cytometer fluidic system, run 10% bleach for 5 minutes, then FACS Rinse (or 5% Contrad) for 5 minutes, followed by 0.1 μm filtered DI-water for 15 minutes.

When running the samples, use a low flow rate (e.g. <1000 particles/minute) while collecting the data. This is important when using a Fortessa with a hydrodynamic focusing system. In our experience, we have observed minimal differences in data quality and consistency with a flow rate of 10,000 particles/minute but still recommend starting at a lower flow rate and then moving up.

To avoid mitochondrial aggregates from analysis, use combinations of pulse-Area (FSC-A, SSC-A), pulse-Height (FSC-H, SSC-H), and pulse-Width (FSC-W, SSC-W) as follows: [1] FSC-A vs. FSC-H, [2] SSC-H vs. SSC-W, [3] FSC-H vs. FSC-W. This will progressively gate clusters/populations that increase linearly and help identify the singlet population for further analysis.

Identify labeled mitochondria from the crude lysate by standard, sequential gating of linearly-variable clusters: [1] pulse channels (FITC-A vs. FSC-A) and if using blue-fluorescently labeled mitochondria, also [2] pulse channels (FITC-A vs. PacBlue-A) (see Figure 2). We suggest using biexponential scaling to visualize fluorescence in the FITC and PE channels for singlet mitochondria.
during data acquisition. This scale enables one to simultaneously observe values between 0 and 10 on a linear scale and values above 10 on a logarithmic scale.

For JC-9, recorded channels should be: forward scatter (FSC, “Size”), side scatter (SSC, “Granularity”) (488 nm/10), FITC (“Green channel”) (525 nm/50 with a 500 nm Long Pass filter), and PE-Tx-Red YG (“Red channel”) (610 nm/20 with a 600nm Long Pass filter). To reduce spectral overlap we applied an 8.5% compensation between the PE and FITC channels and a 1% compensation between the FITC and PE channels.

If you are interested in also identifying blue-fluorescently labeled mitochondria (e.g. for tissue specific studies, as in Figure 4 of Daniele et al., 2016), one should include Pacific Blue (“Blue channel”) (450 nm/50) in the recorded channels. One should also run a sample that contains unlabeled, blue-fluorescent mitochondria (see Figure 2).

Although all data points should be recorded, calibrate laser power and acquisition settings (channel compensation) to nullify any signal from dye aggregates or unlabeled mitochondria (see Part I, Step 5). Further, gating for singlets should be performed to calibrate channels to levels of “single mitochondria” fluorescence.

14 Determine the gating of each fluorescent channel by comparing a control sample without any fluorescent labeling (tubes [1] & [2] from Part I, Step 5) and a control that was labeled in a single channel (e.g. tube [3] from Part I, Step 5).

Collect at least 100,000 singlet events on flow cytometer for each sample.

Use size calibration beads to extrapolate mitochondrial diameter (see Figure 3).

15 Once all “polarized” mitochondria samples are run (i.e. at least 100,000 particles recorded per sample, see Figure 2), transfer 250 μL of each sample to a new tube and add 0.3 μL of valinomycin [final 12 μM] to “depolarize” the sample.

Be sure to also add valinomycin to the “lysate alone” and “dye alone” controls to enable gating out of valinomycin aggregates.

Keep thawed valinomycin at room temperature. Even a small amount (~50 μL) will take at least 10 minutes to thaw once it has been on ice.

To allow for depolarization, K\(^+\) was included in the MIB. Previous reports have shown that isolated mitochondria can polarize and respire normally as long as a “permeant ion” such as K\(^+\) is present in the buffer, particularly in the absence of an ETC substrate (e.g. succinate) (Gómez-Puyou et al., 1970; Ogata and Rasmussen, 1966; Knight et al., 1981). Moreover, since valinomycin is a K\(^+\) ionophore, it requires this ion to depolarize mitochondria. Valinomycin has been used in
conjunction with JC dyes in several other studies (Reers et al., 1991; Cossarizza et al., 1993; Wolken and Arriaga, 2014).

Depolarization is important to establish the “ground state” Δψ of the isolated mitochondria. This will be an important value when deciding where different subdivisions of mitochondrial subtypes lie.

16 Let tubes with “depolarized” mitochondria sit on ice for 3–5 minutes before running again on the flow cytometer.

17 When all samples have been run, save and export as .FCS files.

Part III: Statistical Analysis of Flow Cytometric Data for Mitochondrial Subtype Identification

18 Open all .FCS files into a FlowJo v10 workspace (or use a comparable software program).

19 For the “unlabeled mitochondria” sample, define gating for “singlets” (or single mitochondria) using the sequential gates of Part II, Step 12.

Mitochondrial diameter is determined by extrapolating from forward scatter (FSC) data acquired using standardized polymer microspheres (beads). Calculations should be based on flow cytometric readings from that experiment. For example, mitochondria diameter (nm) = y = 123.08*(FSC)^0.244, $R^2 = 0.998$, Residual sum of squares (RSS) = 467.31 (see Figure 3). This method to approximate mitochondrial size has been previously reported (Beavis et al., 1985; Knight et al., 1981; Petit, 1992).

20 Identify “dye-labeled” mitochondria by sequentially modifying the gate to exclude: [1] unlabeled mitochondria, [2] aggregated dye, and [3] aggregated valinomycin and aggregated JC-9 dye (e.g. Part II, Step 15) from any exported data (e.g. using FITC-A vs. FSC-A).

If tissue-specific studies that require identifying blue-fluorescently labeled mitochondria (e.g. from a particular nematode tissue like the muscle, e.g. Figure 4 from Daniele et al., 2016) are being performed, gating should include the blue channel (e.g. FITC-A vs. PacBlue-A). For the experiments using blue-labeled (“BFP positive”) mitochondria, only blue fluorescent mitochondria that had blue signal and green signal (to indicate JC-9 labeling) above background should be counted as BFP positive mitochondria.

21 When defined mitochondrial populations have been established, export the gated populations as .CSV files and open in Microsoft Excel (preferably with the Sigma XL add-on) to perform statistical analyses. The recommended channels to export are: FSC-A, SSC-A, FITC-A, TxxRed-A, “Membrane Potential” (TxxRed-AT/FITC-A for each particle), and “Mitochondrial Diameter” (computed from FSC-A).
A “function” was created in the FlowJo workspace to automatically compute “Mitochondrial Diameter” (using the equation from Part III, Step 19) and “Membrane Potential” (using the ratio of Red to Green JC-9 signal) (see Figure 4).

The ground state of Δψ was established by setting a division point above the max Red/Green JC-9 ratio for all the “depolarized” samples (see Figure 5 and also Figures 3C and S3 in Daniele et al., 2016).

The data in these experiments did not possess a Gaussian distribution (by Anderson Darling test) and thus we performed only non-parametric tests for significance (e.g. Mann-Whitney). We recommend testing the normality of your data before deciding on whether to perform a parametric or non-parametric test.

REGENTS AND SOLUTIONS

0.22 μm-filter sterilized Collagenase 3 buffer [100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 1 mM CaCl₂]

Adapted from Cox et al., 1981 - For 250 mL of buffer: (1) add 0.037 g of CaCl₂ dihydrate to 25 mL of 1 M Tris HCl (pH 7.4), (2) add distilled milliQ H₂O to 200 mL and mix using a stir bar, (3) pH the solution to 7.4, (4) add to 250 mL with distilled milliQ H₂O, (5) filter sterilize using a 0.22 μm filter (e.g. #430767 from Corning). Stable for 6 months at room temperature.

M9 Buffer [42 mM Na₂HPO₄, 22 mM KH₂PO₄, 86 mM NaCl, and 1mM MgSO₄·7H₂O]

For 1 L of buffer: (1) Dissolve 3 g KH₂PO₄ (monobasic), 6 g Na₂HPO₄, and 5 g NaCl in up to 1 L of H₂O with a stir bar, (2) Aliquot into multiple volumes (e.g. 500 mL), (3) Autoclave 25–30 minutes, (4) using sterile technique, allow media to cool to 55–60° C, (5) add 2 mL of 0.5 M MgSO₄ per 1 L of solution (or 200 uL per 100 mL solution). Stable at room temperature indefinitely if unopened or 3 months once opened.

0.22 μm-filter sterilized Mitochondria Isolation Buffer (MIB) [50 mM KCl, 110 mM Mannitol, 70 mM Sucrose, 0.1 mM EDTA (pH 8.0), 5 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), with Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (1:1000 dilution) added fresh]

For 250 mL of buffer: (1)mix 2.5 mL of 2.5 M KCl, 55 mL of 0.5 M Mannitol, 17.5 mL of 1 M Sucrose, 50 mL of 0.5 M EDTA (pH 8.0), and 1.25 mL of 1 M Tris-HCl (pH 7.4) using a stir bar, (2) add distilled milliQ H₂O to 200 mL, (3) pH the solution to 7.4, (4) add to 250 mL with more distilled milliQ H₂O, (5) filter sterilize using a 0.22 μm filter (e.g. #430767 from Corning). Stable for 6 months at 4° C.

Before mitochondrial isolation, add 1 μL of Protease Inhibitor Cocktail for every 1000 μL of MIB you plan to use, mix by vortexing, filter sterilize using a 0.22 μm filter (e.g. #430320 from Corning), and keep on ice.
COMMENTARY

Background Information

The use of highly sensitive flow cytometry enabled the distinction of mitochondrial properties ($\Delta \psi$, size, substructure/granularity) at the individual organelle level, which provides a basis for identification, classification, and characterization of mitochondrial subtypes in *C. elegans*. The method could be widely applicable to mitochondria isolated from any stage in the nematode lifespan (e.g. developing or aged animals), any tissue (e.g. muscle, intestine, neurons), or a combination of both applications (e.g. mitochondria from aged tissues). While we focused on JC-9 as an indicator of $\Delta \psi$, our protocols could be modified to use with other reporter dyes (e.g. MitoSOX to measure reactive oxygen species, Yang and Hekimi, 2010, or tissue-specific BFP-labeled mitochondria and Mitotracker Red-labeled mitochondria, Daniele et al., 2016). Recently, a variant of our method was used to characterize mitochondrial heteroplasmy across *C. elegans* tissues (Ahier et al., 2018). We have also applied this technique to measure the effect certain lipids exert on the properties of isolated mitochondria (Nguyen et al., 2017). Finally, although we applied this approach to *C. elegans* because of its prevalence as a model for development, disease pathology, toxicity, and aging, it is envisioned that this could also be applied to monitor the $\Delta \psi$ of mitochondria isolated from cell culture, other model organisms, or tissue biopsies. This new tool should enable in-depth study of mitochondrial bioenergetics, which is critical in multiple fields of biomedical research.

Critical Parameters

This protocol was designed to enable a fast, quantitative analysis of mitochondrial $\Delta \psi$ and morphology from a small amount of crude isolate. The purity and yield of mitochondria in our protocol appears to preserve the yield of mitochondrial mass, maintain mitochondrial integrity, and not effect mitochondrial respiration (Daniele et al., 2016). We have also demonstrated that functional measurements performed on “mitochondrial particles” (*in vitro*) are highly associated with their *in vivo* counterparts (Daniele et al., 2016) but we acknowledge that these measurements cannot be quantitatively compared (Degli Esposti, 2001; Cottet-Rousselle et al., 2011).

Our choice of homogenizer was made with several considerations in mind. First, worms are much less likely to stick to glass than to other surfaces (e.g. metal). Second, while we are aware that glass homogenizers tend to be more variable in their clearance than metal homogenizers this “gentler” homogenization method was found to yield similar mitochondrial mass but led to increased mitochondrial integrity (Daniele et al., 2016). Perhaps these reasons are why glass homogenizers are considered the “gold standard” for *C. elegans* organelle isolation. Finally, all points in this protocol were optimized to decrease the variability of inter-sample variation (e.g. buffer composition and depolarizing the same sample) and to maximize the number of samples that can be run reliably (e.g. 30 *C. elegans* samples per day). Depending on experimental conditions required, an additional mitochondrial purification step (e.g. using density gradient centrifugation) may be necessary. For example, the protocol described here was successfully used to observe the effects of...
lipids on Δψ in isolated mitochondria (Nguyen et al., 2017) but other metabolites, chemicals, or lipids may not be compatible with our rapid isolation protocol.

**Troubleshooting**

If you are using this technique for the first time, we recommend starting with a tissue or protocol where you expect mitochondria to have a high membrane potential (e.g. at least 1.3x higher JC-9 ratio for polarized:depolarized). We have had success with differentiation paradigms (e.g. larval stages in *C. elegans*, differentiation of cultured cells) and with high ATP demanding tissues (e.g. muscle). In our hands, the dynamic range of JC-9 ratios has been smaller in immortalized cell culture and thus, more difficult to measure differences between “polarized” and “depolarized”. If this is the case, we recommend adding agents that will facilitate mitochondrial respiration (e.g. succinate, see buffers used in Wolken and Arriaga, 2014).

While mitochondria in their cellular environment may adopt a variety of shapes and conformations, once they are isolated, mitochondria become spherical (Claude and Fullam, 1945; Yamada et al., 2009; Shimada et al., 1978; O’Toole et al., 2010). Therefore we assume that microspheres can be used appropriately for approximating mitochondrial diameter. One noteworthy example, which demonstrates the fidelity of our mitochondria size estimates comes from the near identical diameter values we found for nematode muscle mitochondrial between flow cytometry and *in vivo* confocal micrographs (Daniele et al., 2016; Head et al., 2011).

If mitochondria are unusually stressed in the experimental setup, several considerations should also be kept in mind. It has been reported that autofluorescence (esp. with emission between 450 and 550 nm) has been observed to vary from “stressed” mitochondria (e.g. oxidative stress, radiation stress, protein aggregation) compared to unstressed controls (Bartolomé and Abramov, 2015; Andersson et al., 1998; Monici, 2005; Bondza-Kibangou et al., 2001; Schae et al., 2012; Kuznetsova et al., 2011; Layfield et al., 2006). These changes in fluorescence have been attributed to altered NADH/NAD(P)H ratio and the presence of oxidized FADH$_2$, which during mitochondrial ATP production, serve as electron carriers to complex I and II, respectively. The effects of tissue contamination should also be considered although, in our hands, using disaggregated *C. elegans* cells, we have seen minimal autofluorescence in any channel. Nonetheless, one should factor in tissue contamination if studying aged tissues in mammals, which possess higher amounts of lipofuscin, or “age pigment.” The yellow to red autofluorescence of this material (when excited with UV) is thought to consist of highly-oxidized, insoluble proteins and lipids (Pincus et al., 2016).

Finally, it is important to note that some lipids (and probably other metabolites and chemicals) are autofluorescent in the Green or Red channel. We found that palmitoyl-DL-carnitine (AC) suspended alone in MIB is autofluorescent in the Green channel which made ratiometric determination of membrane potential (e.g. JC-9 Red/Green fluorescence) a poor predictor of this quality, especially with depolarized mitochondria (Nguyen et al., 2017). Since AC was not autofluorescent in the Red channel, we were able to normalize the amount of Red JC-9 aggregates (an indicator of membrane potential) to the calculated diameter of mitochondria. This is one solution if your experimental conditions include a chemical that...
creates Green autofluorescent aggregates but unfortunately, this becomes more complicated when the added agent is Red fluorescent (e.g. the indicator which demonstrates degree of polarization). In this case, we recommend (1) diluting to prevent aggregates or (2) identifying an alternative Red fluorescence filter set.

**Anticipated Results**

Consult Daniele et al., 2016 for additional illustrations and applications of this protocol.

**Time Considerations**

Membrane potential is best measured on mitochondria within 1 hour of isolation.
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Significance Statement

The health of mitochondria is of utmost importance to cell function and to the organism as a whole. To preserve the fitness and function of this organelle, cellular mitochondrial quality control mechanisms exist and directly impact membrane potential, size, and substructure. Populations of mitochondria differ dramatically within individual cells, and even more across different cell types, tissues, and throughout aging. Here we present a robust and high-throughput technique to detect and quantitatively measure such phenotypic heterogeneity at the organelle level to gain insight into mitochondrial biology as it impacts development, aging, and genetic disease.
Figure 1. Illustration of crude mitochondrial isolation procedure
*See note in Part I, Step 5 to know the controls where dye is not added.
(1) Run Controls and Samples
Mitochondria are “Polarized” (†) to in vivo levels

(2) Transfer ~250 μL of ea. sample to new, labeled tubes
(3) Add Valinomycin (Val) and Mix
(4) Samples sit, on ice, for 3-5 minutes
Mitochondria are now “Depolarized” (¬)

(5) Run Samples

(5) Save and Export Files

Figure 2.
Flow chart for running samples and controls in a standard experiment.
Figure 3. Extrapolation of mitochondrial diameter from the forward scatter of polymer microspheres of known size

(A) Forward and side scatter for a range of bead sizes. There is some overlap between the 200 nm and 400 nm beads signal. Although this does not change the medians this overlap could be better appreciated using a contour plot. (B) Median FSC was chosen for each bead diameter and plotted against the actual diameter. A best-fit curve was calculated and this equation was used to perform the conversion.
Figure 4. JC-9 can label both polarized and depolarized isolated mitochondria

(A) JC-9 is a mitochondrial dye that exists in a monomeric (green fluorescent, light grey, left) state but forms red fluorescent aggregates (dark grey, right) when mitochondria are polarized. Red fluorescence increases relative to an increase in mitochondrial membrane potential (Δψ). (B) Representative use of the Red/Green “JC-9 fluorescence ratio” in a comparison of polarized and depolarized mitochondria. Median Red/Green “JC-9 fluorescence ratio” is higher for polarized mitochondria due to formation of more aggregate (red). (C) Mitochondrial diameter (nm), derived from Forward scatter (FSC), increases when mitochondria are depolarized (with valinomycin, gray bars) while (D) side scatter (SSC), mitochondria granularity/substructure, greatly increases upon depolarization. All values shown are medians. ‘***’ = P<0.0001 by Mann-Whitney test. Adapted with permission from Daniele et al., 2016.
Figure 5. Effects of depolarization on membrane potential, mitochondrial diameter, and mitochondrial granularity.

(A–F) Distribution of Δψ (A,D), mito size (B,E), and mito granularity/substructure (C,F).

The presence of mitochondria with high Δψ (A), highly granular (C), (called “mH”, light arrowheads) appears to account for the dramatic rise in median JC-9 ratio between L1-L3 stages of nematode development. On the other hand, mitochondria with low Δψ (A) and low texture (C) are present through all stages (“mL”, dark arrowheads) and these represent the majority subtype in the Egg and L4-D2 stages of development. The distribution of mitochondrial properties for the “depolarized” or “ground state” is shown in D–F. “Depol.” = “depolarized”. Adapted with permission from Daniele et al., 2016.